

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ANTHONY LEE TOTTEN,

Petitioner,

v.

A. KANE, Warden, et. al.,

Respondent(s).

Nos. C-05-1675 TEH (PR)

C-05-1965 TEH (PR)

C-07-5974 TEH (PR)

ORDER DENYING CERTIFICATE OF  
APPEALABILITY

(Doc. #11)

On September 25, 2008, the Court denied Petitioner's applications for a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 challenging the California Board of Parole Hearings' (BPH) January 27, 2003, June 29, 2004 and November 27, 2007 decisions to deny him parole. Doc. #9.


Petitioner filed a notice of appeal, which the Court now construes as a request for a Certificate of Appealability (COA) under 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c) and Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 22(b). See Hayward v. Marshall, 603 F.3d 546, 552-56 (9th Cir. 2010) (en banc) (COA required regardless of whether state decision to deny release from confinement is administrative or judicial).

1           Petitioner's request for a COA (Doc. #11) is DENIED  
2 because Petitioner has not made "a substantial showing of the denial  
3 of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). Nor has  
4 Petitioner demonstrated that "reasonable jurists would find the  
5 district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable  
6 or wrong." Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000).

7           The Clerk shall forward to the Court of Appeals the case  
8 file with this Order. See United States v. Asrar, 116 F.3d 1268,  
9 1270 (9th Cir. 1997).

10  
11           IT IS SO ORDERED.

12  
13  
14 DATED       07/12/10

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
THELTON E. HENDERSON  
United States District Judge

15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26 G:\PRO-SE\TEH\HC.07\Totten-07-5974-deny coa-post-hayward.wpd  
27  
28